

Noncommutativity and B -field in p -string theoryDebashis Ghoshal¹¹ Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India

Abstract: *We explore the consequence of a noncommutative deformation on the effective field theory of the tachyon of the p -adic string. Exact soliton solutions that interpolate between the noncommutative soliton and that of the p -adic tachyon are obtained. A worldsheet origin of the noncommutativity is sought in the antisymmetric Neveu-Schwarz B -field.*

Key words: *p -adic string, noncommutative field theory, soliton*

The p -adic string theory (or simply p -string theory for short), introduced and developed in Refs.[1, 2, 3], is an intriguing theory. Apparently it describes open string theory in an exotic discretisation of the worldsheet[4] in the form of a Bethe lattice or an infinite (Bruhat-Tits) tree, whose boundary is (isomorphic to) the field of p -adic numbers[6]. This helps to compute any tree-level correlator of its lowest excitation, the p -tachyon field. As a consequence of this, the low energy effective field theory of the p -tachyon is known *exactly*. (See [5] for a review.) It is possible, therefore, to check[7] that the p -tachyon field behaves according to the conjectures by Sen[8] concerning the open string tachyons on D-branes.

One might hope that the p -adic string theory will provide us a useful guide to difficult questions in (usual) string theory. One is further encouraged by the fact that in the $p \rightarrow 1$ limit, p -string theory seems to reduce to (an approximation of) the usual string theory[9]. To realise this in practice, however, we require a better understanding of the p -adic string itself. As it is, we only know some properties of its D-branes in flat spacetime. Among the nontrivial backgrounds, a particularly simple one is that of a constant rank two Neveu-Schwarz field B . In the usual case, its effect is to provide a *noncommutative deformation* of the effective field theory[10].

Received: 20 August 2005

With this objective let us consider a noncommutative deformation of the spacetime effective field theory of the p -tachyon on a D-brane of p -string theory[11]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC}^{(p)} = -\varphi \star p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \square \varphi + \frac{1}{p+1} (\star\varphi)^{p+1}, \quad (1)$$

where, for simplicity, we assume noncommutativity along two spatial directions and the Moyal product

$$f \star g = f(x^1, x^2) \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \theta \epsilon^{ij} \overleftarrow{\partial}_i \overrightarrow{\partial}_j\right) g(x^1, x^2), \quad (2)$$

is used instead of ordinary multiplication along these directions. Let us recall that the $\theta = 0$ version of Eq.(1) was derived in [2]. Using this action, Ref.[7] checked the Sen conjectures for the p -tachyon. To be more precise, the maximum of the potential at $\varphi = 1$ is the unstable vacuum corresponding to the D-brane, but it also has a local minimum at $\varphi = 0$. The various soliton solutions of [2] are naturally identified to the lower dimensional D-branes[7].

It is trivial to check that the constant configurations $\varphi = 0$ and 1 still satisfy the deformed equation of motion:

$$p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \square \varphi = (\star\varphi)^p. \quad (3)$$

It is only a little more work to verify that the gaussian soliton solutions with nontrivial space dependence generalise in the noncommutative case. Since the \star -product of gaussians is again a gaussian:

$$Ae^{-a|z|^2} \star Be^{-b|z|^2} = \frac{AB}{1+ab\theta^2} \exp\left(\frac{a+b}{1+ab\theta^2}|z|^2\right), \quad (4)$$

the ansatz

$$\varphi(x^1, x^2) = A^2 \exp\left(-a\left[(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2\right]\right) \quad (5)$$

can be used in the equation of motion (3) to determine the width a as a polynomial of degree p

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} \binom{p}{2i} (a\theta)^{2i} - (1 - 2a \ln p) \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (p-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{p}{2i+1} (a\theta)^{2i} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Although we cannot find an analytic expression for the root $a(\theta)$ as a function of θ in general, it is straightforward to see that in the limiting cases $\theta = 0$

and $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, we recover the width of the gaussian lump of [2] $a = \frac{p-1}{2p \ln p}$ and the ‘noncommutative soliton’ of [12] $a = 1/\theta$ respectively. The amplitude A is determined in terms of a as

$$A^{2p-2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (p-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{p}{2i+1} (a\theta)^{2i}, \tag{7}$$

which, again, interpolates between the commutative limit ($A = p^{1/2(p-1)}$ for $\theta = 0$) and the limit of large noncommutativity ($A = \sqrt{2}$ for $\theta \rightarrow \infty$). Thus we see that the *noncommutative p-soliton* given by Eqs.(5), (6) and (7), interpolates smoothly between the commutative p -soliton of [2] the noncommutative soliton of [12].

It was shown in [9] that in the $p \rightarrow 1$ limit p -string theory reduces to the *boundary string field theory (BSFT)* description of ordinary bosonic string (truncated to two derivatives). In this limit, the lagrangian (1) reduces to:

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC}^{(p \rightarrow 1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi \star \square \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \varphi \star \varphi (\ln_{\star}(\varphi \star \varphi) - 1). \tag{8}$$

This noncommutative deformation was considered in [14]. Amazingly, the noncommutative p -solitons discussed above also make sense in the $p \rightarrow 1$ limit[11]. To get the \star -deformed logarithm of an ordinary exponential, we extrapolate the n -fold \star -product of the gaussian following from (4) to fractional powers. The width a and the amplitude A of the gaussian lump are now given by the transcendental equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2a &= \frac{1 - (a\theta)^2}{2a\theta} \ln \left(\frac{1 + a\theta}{1 - a\theta} \right), \\ 2 \ln A &= \frac{1 + a\theta}{2a\theta} \ln(1 + a\theta) - \frac{1 - a\theta}{2a\theta} \ln(1 - a\theta). \end{aligned}$$

These too interpolate smoothly between the BSFT solution $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $A = \sqrt{e}$ at $\theta = 0$ [13] to the GMS solution $a = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $A = \sqrt{2}$ [12, 14]. Further details may be found in [11].

We will now consider the effect of coupling a B -field to the worksheet of the p -string. It is not obvious what this means as the B -field arises in the closed string sector which is not known in p -string theory. Our objective,

however, is modest: we are interested in a constant B -field background. This is known to be gauge equivalent (after an integration by parts)

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu} dX^{\mu} \wedge dX^{\nu} = \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\xi B_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu} \partial_{\xi} X^{\nu}, \quad (9)$$

to a background gauge field $A_{\nu} \sim B_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu}$, which couples to the boundary of the worldsheet. It is not that we know about the gauge field excitation of the open p -string (although existence of the translation zero modes for the transverse scalars on the solitonic D-branes is a strong hint[7]). Nevertheless, the second form above is a better starting point for a p -adic generalisation: the p -adic worldsheet being a tree lacks an obvious 2-form[4]. However, starting with the discrete Polyakov action on the p -adic ‘worldsheet’ and integrating over the bulk degrees of freedom, one can write an effective non-local action on the boundary

$$\mathcal{S}_p = \frac{p(p-1)\beta_p}{4(p+1)} \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} d\xi d\xi' \frac{(X^{\mu}(\xi) - X^{\mu}(\xi'))^2}{|\xi - \xi'|_p^2}. \quad (10)$$

where, \mathbf{Q}_p is the field of p -adic numbers and $|\cdot|_p$ the norm in it. Historically this action was proposed in [15] even before the local action and indeed before the p -string ‘worldsheet’ was understood.

There remains one hurdle still. There is no natural notion of a (tangential) derivative for the complex/real valued functions $X^{\mu}(\xi)$ of a p -adic variable. Fortunately, there is a way to write this as a kernel[6, 16]

$$\partial_{\xi} X^{\mu} = \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} d\xi' \frac{\text{sgn}_{\tau}(\xi - \xi')}{|\xi - \xi'|_p} X^{\mu}(\xi'), \quad (11)$$

where $\text{sgn}_{\tau}(\xi)$ is a p -adic ‘sign function’ depending on the choice of $\tau \in \mathbf{Q}_p$:

$$\text{sgn}_{\tau}(\xi) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \xi = \zeta_1^2 - \tau\zeta_2^2 \text{ for some } \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbf{Q}_p, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Of the three inequivalent choices of τ , $\text{sgn}_{\tau}(\xi)$ is antisymmetric only when $\tau = p, \omega p$ ($\omega^{p-1} = 1$) and that too for $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ [6]. In order to follow the usual string case closely, we shall restrict to these cases.

We are finally in a position to propose our action for open p -string coupled to constant B -field[17]:

$$\int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \frac{d\xi d\xi'}{|\xi - \xi'|_p^2} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} (X^{\mu}(\xi) - X^{\mu}(\xi')) (X^{\nu}(\xi) - X^{\nu}(\xi')) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{i(p+1)}{p^2 \Gamma_\tau(-1)} \operatorname{sgn}_\tau(\xi - \xi') B_{\mu\nu} X^\mu(\xi) X^\nu(\xi') \Big], \tag{12}$$

where $\Gamma_\tau(-1)$ is a (finite) number depending on p . It is straightforward to solve for the Green's function in the presence of the B -field[17]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu}(\xi - \xi') &= -G^{\mu\nu} \ln |\xi - \xi'|_p + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \operatorname{sgn}_\tau(\xi - \xi'), \\ \text{where, } \left(\frac{1}{\eta - iB} \right)^{\mu\nu} &= G^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{p-1}{\alpha' p^2 \ln p \Gamma_\tau(-1)} \theta^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The above exactly correspond to the analogous results for the usual bosonic string[18].

We seem to be at the end of the road, since formally

$$[X^\mu, X^\nu](0) = \lim_{\substack{\operatorname{sgn}_\tau(\xi) = +1 \\ |\xi|_p \rightarrow 0}} \left(\langle X^\mu(\xi) X^\nu(0) \rangle - \langle X^\mu(0) X^\nu(-\xi) \rangle \right) = i \theta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{14}$$

but this conclusion would be hasty as there are caveats. The problem is due to the fact that \mathbf{Q}_p does not have a natural sense of an *order*. It is possible to define an order by, say, ordering the coefficients in the power series expansion of a p -adic number. We can also distinguish between ‘positive’ and ‘negative’ p -adic numbers. Unfortunately this notion is not $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ covariant and thus of little use.

As a result the four p -tachyon Veneziano amplitude with minimally coupled B -field as above

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{pB}^{(4)} &= \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{c_{12}c_{34}}{p^{\alpha(s)} - 1} + \frac{c_{13}c_{24}}{p^{\alpha(t)} - 1} + \frac{c_{14}c_{23}}{p^{\alpha(u)} - 1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} (c_{12}c_{34} + c_{13}c_{24} + c_{14}c_{23}) + \frac{p+1}{p} c_{12}c_{13}c_{23}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

(where, $c_{ij} = \cos \frac{1}{2} k^i \theta k^j$) does not quite match the same calculated from the field theory action (1):

$$\frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{c_{12}c_{34}}{p^{\alpha(s)} - 1} + \frac{c_{13}c_{24}}{p^{\alpha(t)} - 1} + \frac{c_{14}c_{23}}{p^{\alpha(u)} - 1} \right) + \frac{p-2}{p} (c_{12}c_{34} + c_{13}c_{24} + c_{14}c_{23}).$$

The problems here are analogous to those encountered in incorporating Chan-Paton factors in open p -string theory[19, 5]. For details, the reader may refer to [17].

In summary, we studied a noncommutative deformation of the exact effective action of the open string tachyon on the D-brane of p -adic string theory. A family of gaussian lump solution for *all* values of the noncommutativity parameter θ was obtained. It smoothly interpolates between the soliton of the (commutative) p -adic string theory and the noncommutative soliton. Further, it was shown that in the $p \rightarrow 1$ limit one finds smoothly interpolating solitons in the boundary string field theory description of the usual bosonic string. Finally, a minimal coupling of the constant B -field to the nonlocal action on the boundary of the p -string ‘worldsheet’ was analysed. Its effect was found to be qualitatively similar to the deformed effective spacetime theory, although the four-tachyon amplitude did not match precisely. Perhaps in the p -adic case, one needs to go beyond the minimally coupled B -field. Much remains to be done in understanding p -adic strings in nontrivial backgrounds.

Acknowledgement: I shall always cherish the memory of the workshop organised by Goran Djordjević and his colleagues from the University of Niš and the unforgettable hospitality extended by them. It was a privilege to make acquaintance with colleagues from the Balkan. The travel support from ASICTP which made my participation possible is gratefully acknowledged. I thank Teruhiko Kawano for collaboration.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.G.O. Freund and M. Olson, *Phys. Lett.* **B199** 186 (1987);
P.G.O. Freund and E. Witten, *Phys. Lett.* **B199** 191 (1987).
- [2] L. Brekke, P.G.O. Freund, M. Olson and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B302** 365 (1988).
- [3] P.H. Frampton and Y. Okada, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 484 **60** (1988); *Phys. Rev.* **D37** 3077 (1988);
P.H. Frampton, Y. Okada and M.R. Ubriaco, *Phys. Lett.* **B213** 260 (1988).
- [4] A.V. Zabrodin, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123** 463 (1989);
L.O. Chekhov, A.D. Mironov and A.V. Zabrodin, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **125** 675 (1989).
- [5] L. Brekke and P.G.O. Freund, *Phys. Rep.* **133** 1 (1993).
- [6] I.M. Gelfand, M.I. Graev and I.I. Pitaetskii-Shapiro, *Representation theory and automorphic functions*, Saunders 1969.
- [7] D. Ghoshal and A. Sen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B584** 300 (2000).
- [8] A. Sen, *Non-BPS states and branes in string theory*, [hep-th/9904207].
- [9] A.A. Gerasimov and S.L. Shatashvili, *JHEP* **0010** 034 (2000).
- [10] V. Schomerus, *JHEP* **9906** 030 (1999).
- [11] D. Ghoshal, *JHEP* **0409** 041 (2004).
- [12] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla and A. Strominger, *JHEP* **0005** 020 (2000).
- [13] E. Witten, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** 5467 (1992);
D. Kutasov, M. Marino and G. Moore, *JHEP* **0010** 045 (2000).

- [14] L. Cornalba, *Phys. Lett.* **B504** 55 (2001);
K. Okuyama, *Phys. Lett.* **B499**, 167 (2001).
- [15] B.L. Spokoiny, *Phys. Lett.* **B207** 401 (1988) 401;
R.B. Zhang, *Phys. Lett.* **B209** 229 (1988);
G. Parisi, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** 639 (1988).
- [16] A.V. Marshakov and A.V. Zabrodin, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** 265 (1990)
265.
- [17] D. Ghoshal and T. Kawano, *Nucl. Phys.* **B710** 577 (2005).
- [18] A. Abouelsaood, C.G. Callan, C.R. Nappi and S.A. Yost, *Nucl. Phys.*
B280 599 (1987).
- [19] I.Y. Arefeva, B.G. Dragovic and I.V. Volovich, *Phys. Lett.* **B212** 283
(1988);
A. Barnett, *p-Adic amplitudes*, IMPERIAL-TP-90-91-02 (unpublished).