## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF RIGHT RING CONGRUENCES ON A SEMIRING \*

Xiaofeng Zhu, Yuanlan Zhou, and Wenting Xiong

**Abstract.** This paper develops the relationship between ring congruences and normal subsemirings. As an application of this relationship, if right ring congruences on a semiring *S* exist, the structure of *S* is determined and the right ring congruences are characterized in terms of ring congruences and right zero band semiring congruences on *S*.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A *semiring S* is an algebraic structure  $(S, +, \cdot)$  consisting of a non-empty set *S* together with two binary operations + and  $\cdot$  such that (S, +) and  $(S, \cdot)$  are semigroups, connected by ring-like distributivity (that is, x(y+z) = xy + xz, (y+z)x = yx + zx, for all *x*, *y* and *z* in *S*). Usually, we write  $(S, +, \cdot)$  simply as *S*, and for any *x*,  $y \in S$ , write  $x \cdot y$  simply as *xy*. An element *a* of a semiring *S* is called a *zero* if a + x = x + a = x and ax = xa = a for all  $x \in S$ . Clearly, the zero of a semiring *S* is unique if there exists. Usually, we denote it by 0. A non-empty subset *K* of a semiring *S* is a *subsemiring* if a + b,  $ab \in K$  for every  $a, b \in K$ . A subsemiring *K* of *S* is an *ideal* if as,  $sa \in K$  for any  $a \in K$  and  $s \in S$ . A subsemiring *K* of *S* is called *reflexive* (*left-unitary*, *dense*) if its additive reduct (S, +) is reflexive (unitary, dense). We denote the set of all additive idempotents of a semiring *S* by  $E^+(S)$ . Easily, we can prove that  $E^+(S)$  is an ideal of  $(S, \cdot)$ . If *C* is a class of semirings and  $\varrho$  is a congruence on a semiring *S* then  $\varrho$  is called a  $\mathscr{C}$ -congruence if  $S/\varrho \in \mathscr{C}$ .

A semiring  $S = (S, +, \cdot)$  is called an *idempotent semiring*, if  $(\forall a \in S) a + a = a = a \cdot a$ . An idempotent semiring *S* is called a *band semiring* ([6]), if *S* satisfies the conditions that for any  $a, b \in S, a + ab + a = a, a + ba + a = a$ . A band semiring *S* = (*S*,+, .) is called a *T*-band semiring ([6]), if the additive reduct (*S*, +) of *S* is a *T*-band, where *T* is, respectively, a *rectangular*, *right* (*left*) *zero*, *right* (*left*) *regular*, *regular*, *normal*, *commutative* band and so on. A semiring *S* is said to be a *right ring* ([2]), if *S* can be decomposed as a direct product of a ring *R* and a right zero band semiring *E*.

Received May 17, 2009.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16Y60; Secondary 20M10

<sup>\*</sup>The research is supported by the NSF of Jiangxi Province (0611051); the SF of Education Department of Jiangxi Province (GJJ09459) and the SF of Jiangxi Normal University (1905).

In Petrich and Reilly [5], the structure of a right group is characterized, and some properties are extended to a right ring in [2]. In [4], [7] and [9], some special semirings are studied. Based on those, the main purpose of this paper is to investigate the right ring congruences on a semiring *S*. A central notion considered is that of how the normal subsemiring associated with a group congruence on a semiring is related to right ring congruences on a semiring.

For other notations and terminology about semigroups and semirings not mentioned in this paper, the reader is referred to [1] and [3]. In this paper the phrases "right ring congruence", "ring congruence", and "right zero band ring congruence" will be denoted by *RRC*, *RC*, and *RZBC* respectively. When such a congruence is minimum, it will be denoted by *MRRC*, *MRC*, and *MRZBC* respectively.

## 2. Characterizations and structure

If  $\sigma$  is a *RC* on a semiring *S*, we define the set

 $N = \{a \in S \mid a\sigma \text{ is an additive zero of } S/\sigma\}.$ 

Then *N* is a subsemiring of *S* and, moreover, *N* can be used in the following manner to generate  $\sigma$ . Define a relation  $\sigma_N$  on *S* by

(2.1) 
$$\sigma_N = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a + x, b + x \in N \text{ for some } x \in S\}.$$

It can be easily verified that  $\sigma = \sigma_N$ . The subset *N* which generates ring images of *S*, relative to the relation defined in equation (2.1), satisfies:

 $(2.2) N ext{ is an ideal of } S;$ 

(2.3) *N* is reflexive, i.e., if  $a, b \in S$  and  $a + b \in N$  then  $b + a \in N$ ;

- (2.4) *N* is left-unitary, i.e.,  $a, b \in S$  and  $a, a + b \in N$  then  $b \in N$ ;
- $(2.5) a+b+c+d \in N \Leftrightarrow a+c+b+d \in N;$
- (2.6) N is dense, i.e.,  $(\forall s \in S)(\exists x, y \in S) s + x \in N \land y + s \in N$ .

A subsemiring of *S* satisfying (2.2)-(2.6) will be called a *normal subsemiring* of *S*. The following lemma relates ring congruences on *S* and related normal subsemirings.

Lemma 2.1. The correspondence

 $\varphi : \sigma \to N = \{a \in S \mid a\sigma \text{ is the additive zero of } S/\sigma\}$ 

*is a one-to-one correspondence from the set of the ring congruences onto the set of normal subsemirings of S.* 

*Proof.* First  $\varphi$  is evidently well defined. Now we prove  $\varphi$  is injective and surjective.

For any two ring congruences  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  with  $\varphi(\sigma_1) = \varphi(\sigma_2)$ , if  $(a, b) \in \sigma_1$ , then there exists an element  $c \in S$  such that  $(a + c)\sigma_1 = (b + c)\sigma_1 = 0_{S/\sigma_1}$ , which implies that

 $a + c, b + c \in \varphi(\sigma_1) = \varphi(\sigma_2)$ , then  $(a + c)\sigma_2 = (b + c)\sigma_2 = 0_{S/\sigma_2}$ , and therefore,  $(a, b) \in \sigma_2$ . This proves that  $\sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2$ . Similarly,  $\sigma_2 \subseteq \sigma_1$ . So  $\varphi$  is one-to-one.

For any normal subsemiring *N* of *S*, we can define a relation  $\sigma_N$  by means of (2.1). Obviously,  $\sigma_N$  is an equivalence relation. Let  $(a, b) \in \sigma_N$  and  $c \in S$ . Then there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $a + x, b + x \in N$ , for the element a + c + x in *S* there exists  $y \in S$  such that  $a + c + x + y \in N$  since *N* is dense. Then  $a + x + c + y \in N$  by (2.5), which implies  $c + y \in N$ . Therefore  $b + c + x + y \in N$  means that  $(a + c, b + c) \in \sigma_N$ , and similarly,  $(c + a, c + b) \in \sigma_N$ . Further, *ac*, *bc*, *ac* + *xc* =  $(a + x)c \in N$ , *bc* + *xc* =  $(b + x)c \in N$ , since *N* is a ideal of *S*, so  $(ac, bc) \in \sigma_N$ , and similarly,  $(ca, cb) \in \sigma_N$ . Thus, we have proved  $\sigma_N$  is a congruence on the semiring *S*.

For each  $a \in N$ , we can easily verify that  $a\sigma_N$  is the zero of  $S/\sigma_N$ , for each  $b \in S$  there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $b + x \in N$  then  $b\sigma_N + x\sigma_N = (b + x)\sigma_N$  which is the additive zero of  $S/\sigma_N$ . Then  $(S, +)/\sigma_N$  is a group. For each  $a, b \in S$  there exist  $x, y \in S$  such that  $a + x, b + y \in N$  and  $a + x + b + y \in N$ , then  $a + b + x + y \in N$  by (2.5), and  $y + a + b + x \in N$  since N is reflexive, then by the same reason,  $b + a + x + y \in N$ . Now we have found an element x + y such that  $a + b + x + y \in N$ , which means that  $(a + b, b + a) \in \sigma_N$ , so  $\sigma_N$  is a ring congruence. Obviously,  $\sigma_N$  satisfies  $\varphi(\sigma_N) = N$ . So  $\varphi$  is onto.  $\Box$ 

Now we generalize the preceding work to right ring congruences, the following theorem develops the sought for characterizations of a *RRC* on a semiring *S*. A *RRC* will now be classified as being trivial if it is a ring congruence or if it is a right zero band semiring congruence.

**Lemma 2.2.** If there exists a nontrivial right ring congruence  $\rho$  on a semiring S then there exist a nontrivial ring congruence  $\sigma$  on S and a nontrivial right zero band semiring congruence  $\pi$  on S.

*Proof.* Assume  $\rho$  is a nontrivial *RRC* on *S* and that  $S/\rho = R \times E$  where *R* is a ring and *E* is a right zero band semiring. Note that  $E \cong E(S/\rho)$ . For each *e* in *E*, define  $L_e$  to be the preimage of  $R \times \{e\}$ , and define *K* to be the kernel of  $\rho$ , i.e., the union of all the  $\rho$ -classes meeting *E*. Evidently  $S = \bigcup \{L_e : e \in E\}$ , with the union disjoint. If  $s \in L_e, t \in L_f$  and  $e, f \in E$ , then

$$(s+t)\varrho^{\natural} = (s\varrho^{\natural}) + (t\varrho^{\natural}) \in (R \times \{e\}) + (R \times \{f\}).$$

But  $(R \times \{e\}) + (R \times \{f\}) \subseteq R \times \{f\}$  and therefore  $s + t \in L_f$ , i.e.,  $L_f$  is a left ideal of (S, +). Now we show that K satisfies (2.2). Obviously, K is the preimage of  $\{0_R\} \times E$ , for each  $a, b \in K$ , there exist  $e, f \in E$  such that  $(a + b)\varrho = (a + b)\varrho^{\natural} = a\varrho^{\natural} + b\varrho^{\natural} = (0_R, e) + (0_R, f) = (0_R, f) \in \{0_R\} \times E$  which means that  $a + b \in K$ . If  $k \in K, s \in S$  then exists  $g \in R, c, d \in E$  such that  $(ks)\varrho = k\varrho^{\natural} \cdot s\varrho^{\natural} = (0_R, c) \cdot (g, d) = (0_R, cd) \in \{0_R\} \times E$  then  $ks \in K$ , similarly,  $sk \in K$ . So K is a ideal of S. We can also easily verify that K satisfies (2.2)–(2.6). So K is a dense normal subsemiring of S. Let  $\sigma = \sigma_K$  as defined by (2.1). Now we define a relation  $\pi$  on S by

$$\pi = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a, b \in L_e \text{ for some } e \in E\}$$

then we can easily verify that  $\pi$  is a right zero band semiring congruence.  $\Box$ 

Thus, a *RRC*  $\rho$  induces a *RC*  $\sigma_K$ , where *K* is the kernel of  $\rho$ , and a *RZBC*  $\pi$ , where the  $\pi$ -classes are left ideal of (*S*, +). The exact relationship between  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma_K$  and  $\pi$  is exhibited in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\rho$  be a right ring congruence on a semiring *S*. Then  $S/\rho$  admits a direct product decomposition  $S/\rho = R \times E$  where *R* is a ring and *E* is a right zero band semiring. Let  $K = \{a \in S \mid a\rho \in E(S/\rho)\}$ , and for each  $e \in E$ , let  $L_e$  the preimage of  $R \times \{e\}$ , and denote by  $\pi$  the right zero band semiring congruence induced on *S* by the  $\{L_e : e \in E\}$ . Then:

- (1)  $\varrho = \sigma_K \cap \pi$ .
- (2)  $S/\varrho \cong S/\sigma \times S/\pi$ .

*Proof.* (1) If  $a \rho b$ , then  $a\rho^{\natural} = (r, e) = b\rho^{\natural}$  for some (r, e) in  $R \times E$ . There exists an element x in S such that  $x\rho^{\natural} = (-r, e)$ , and therefore  $(x + a)\rho^{\natural} = (-r, e) + (r, e) = (0_R, e)$ , and similarly  $(x + b)\rho^{\natural} = (0_R, e)$ , then  $x + a, x + b \in K$  and therefore  $a \sigma_K b$ . Since  $a\rho^{\natural}, b\rho^{\natural} \in R \times \{e\}, a\pi b$  and thus  $\rho \subseteq \sigma_K \cap \pi$ .

Conversely,  $(a, b) \in \sigma_K \cap \pi$  implies that there exists e in E such that  $a\varrho^{\natural}, b\varrho^{\natural} \in R \times \{e\}$ , and that there exists x in S such that  $x + a, x + b \in K$ . Thus  $(x + a)\varrho^{\natural} = (0_R, e) = (x + b)\varrho^{\natural}$ . If  $x \in L_f$ , then there exist  $x' \in S$  such that  $(x' + x)\varrho^{\natural} = (0_R, f)$ , then

$$a\varrho^{\natural} = (0_R, f) + a\varrho^{\natural} = (x' + x + a)\varrho^{\natural} = (x' + x + b)\varrho^{\natural} = (0_R, f) + b\varrho^{\natural} = b\varrho^{\natural},$$

i.e., *a* $\rho$ b and  $\sigma_K \cap \pi \subseteq \rho$ .

(2) From part (1),  $\rho = \sigma_K \cap \pi$ , we can define a map  $\theta$  from  $S/\rho$  to  $S/\sigma_K \times S/\pi$  by  $(s\rho)\theta = (s\sigma_K, s\pi)$ . Clearly,  $\theta$  is well defined. For  $s, t \in S$ 

 $(s\varrho + t\varrho)\theta = ((s+t)\varrho)\theta = ((s+t)\sigma_K, (s+t)\pi) = (s\sigma_K, s\pi) + (t\sigma_K, t\pi) = (s\varrho)\theta + (t\varrho)\theta$ 

 $(s\varrho \cdot t\varrho)\theta = (st\varrho)\theta = (s\sigma_K \cdot t\sigma_K, s\pi \cdot t\pi) = (s\sigma_K, s\pi) \cdot (t\sigma_K, t\pi) = (s\varrho)\theta \cdot (t\varrho)\theta$ 

and hence  $\theta$  is a homomorphism. For  $(s\sigma_K, t\pi) \in S/\sigma \times S/\pi$ , let  $t \in L_e$  and  $k \in K \cap L_e$ . Thus  $((s+k)\varrho)\theta = ((s+t)\sigma_K, (s+k)\pi) = (s\sigma_K + k\sigma_K, k\pi) = (s\sigma_K, t\pi)$ , which means that  $\theta$  is onto. If  $(s\varrho)\theta = (t\varrho)\theta$  then  $s\sigma_K t$  and  $s\pi t$ , and therefore  $s \varrho t$ ,  $\theta$  is one-to-one on  $S/\varrho$ .  $\Box$ 

A sufficient condition for the existence of a minimum right ring congruence is given in the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let *S* be a semiring having nontrivial right ring congruences. If *S* has a minimum normal subsemiring *K*, then *S* has a (unique) minimum right ring congruence.

*Proof.* We denote the set of left ideal partitions of (S, +) by  $\{P_i \mid i \in I\}$ , each  $P_i$  induces a *RZBC*, say  $\pi_i$ . Define a congruence  $\pi$  on S by  $\pi = \bigcap \{\pi_i : i \in I\}$ . If  $a, b \in S$ , then  $(a + b)\pi_i = b\pi_i$  and  $(a + a)\pi_i = (a \cdot a)\pi_i = a\pi_i$ , for each  $i \in I$ , implies that  $(a + b)\pi = b\pi$  and  $(a + a)\pi = a\pi$ , which means that  $\pi$  is the *MRZBC* on S. The *MRC* on S is evidently  $\sigma_K$ . The *MRRC* on S is therefore  $\sigma_K \cap \pi$ .  $\square$ 

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let S be a semiring. Then the following are equivalent:* 

- (1)  $\rho$  is the minimum right ring congruence on S.
- (2)  $\rho = \sigma \cap \pi$ , where  $\sigma$  is the minimum ring congruence on *S* and  $\pi$  is the minimum right zero band semiring congruence on *S*.

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. S. GOLAN: *The Theory of Semirings and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- Y. Q. GUO, K. P. SHUM, and M. K. SEN: The semigroup structure of left Clifford semirings, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 19 (4) (2003), 783–792.
- 3. J. M. HOWIE, Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995.
- 4. F. PASTIJN and Y. Q. GUO, *Semirings which are unions of rings*, Science in China (series A) 45 (2) (2002), 172–195.
- 5. M. PETRICH and N. R. REILLY, *Completely regular semigruops*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1999.
- 6. M. K. SEN, Y. Q. Guo, and K. P. SHUM, A class of idempotent semirings, Semigroup Forum 60 (2000), 351-367.
- 7. J. ZELEZNIKOW, Regular semirings, Semigroup Forum 23 (1981), 119–136.
- 8. Y. L. ZHOU, The PhD thesis, Zhongshan University, 2006.
- 9. Y. L. ZHOU, Y. Q. GUO, and Z. P., WANG *The semigroup structure of orthorings*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 2008.

Xiaofeng Zhu, Yuanlan Zhou, Wenting Xiong Department of Mathematics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330022, P. R. China Correspondence: ylzhou185@163.com