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#### Abstract

We present two conjectures connected with highly oscillatory integrals. A connection of validity of these conjectures with the existence of certain quadrature rules is also presented.


## 1. Introduction

In [4] we considered the following quadrature rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)+R_{n}(f) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the nodes $x_{k}$ and the weights $\sigma_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, are chosen such that this quadrature rule is exact on the linear span $\mathcal{F}_{2 n}(\zeta)$ of the following functions $x^{k} \cos \zeta x$, $x^{k} \sin \zeta x, k=0,1, \ldots, n-1, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$. Such quadrature rules were considered firstly by Ixaru [2] and Ixaru and Paternoster [3], but they have not proved the existence of such quadrature rules. Numerical method for constructing such quadrature rules is presented only with antisymmetric nodes in $(-1,1)$ and symmetric weights for $n \leq 6$ and $0<\zeta<50$.

In [4] the existence question was solved partially. Namely, we proved existence of such a quadrature rule with all positive (all negative) nodes. The existence question for the quadrature rule (1.1) which have the both positive and negative nodes is not solved, yet.

[^0]We give a brief survey of results presented in [4]. For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the set of nodes $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ we put $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and define the node polynomial $\omega(x)=\omega^{(n)}(x)$ by $\omega(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{k}\right)$. For the brevity we introduce, for $\nu, \mu=$ $1, \ldots, n$, the following notation

$$
\omega_{\nu}(x)=\frac{\omega(x)}{x-x_{\nu}}=\prod_{k \neq \nu}\left(x-x_{k}\right), \quad \omega_{\nu, \mu}(x)=\frac{\omega(x)}{\left(x-x_{\nu}\right)\left(x-x_{\mu}\right)}=\prod_{k \neq \nu, \mu}\left(x-x_{k}\right)
$$

and $\ell_{\nu}(x)=\omega_{\nu}(x) / \omega_{\nu}\left(x_{\nu}\right)$, as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\nu}(\mathbf{x})=\int_{-1}^{1} \omega_{\nu}(x) \sin \zeta\left(x-x_{\nu}\right) d x, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose we are given mutually different nodes $x_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, of the quadrature rule (1.1). Then the weights can be expressed in the following form (see [4, Theorem 2.1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}=\int_{-1}^{1} \ell_{k}(x) \cos \zeta\left(x-x_{k}\right) d x, \quad k=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, be the nodes of the quadrature rule (1.1). Then they satisfy the following system of equations (see [4, Theorem 2.2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \omega_{\nu}(x) \sin \zeta\left(x-x_{\nu}\right) d x=0, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a solution of the system of equations (1.4). Under the assumption $x_{k} \neq x_{j}, k \neq j, k, j=1, \ldots, n$, we have that $x_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, are the nodes of the quadrature rule (1.1).

Let $x_{\nu}, \nu=1, \ldots, n$, be the nodes of the quadrature rule (1.1). Then, provided $\sigma_{\nu} \neq 0, \nu=1, \ldots, n$, the Jacobian at the solution $x_{\nu}, \nu=1, \ldots, n$, of the system (1.4) is non-singular (see [4, Theorem 2.3]).

The system of nonlinear equations (1.4) was the main topic in [4]. In the cases $\sin 2 \zeta \geq 0$ and $\sin 2 \zeta \leq 0$ we rewrote the system of equations (1.4) in the following forms

$$
x_{\nu}=\Psi_{\nu}^{C}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\zeta}\left(\arctan \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} \omega_{\nu}(x) \sin \zeta x d x}{\int_{-1}^{1} \omega_{\nu}(x) \cos \zeta x d x}+k_{\nu} \pi\right), \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n, k_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

and

$$
x_{\nu}=\Psi_{\nu}^{S}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\zeta}\left(\operatorname{arccot} \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} \omega_{\nu}(x) \cos \zeta x d x}{\int_{-1}^{1} \omega_{\nu}(x) \sin \zeta x d x}+k_{\nu} \pi\right), \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n, k_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

respectively.

Using these transformed systems of nonlinear equations the existence of mentioned quadrature rule (1.1) which has the both positive and negative nodes can be given under two conjectures, one for the case $\sin 2 \zeta<0$ and the second one for $\sin 2 \zeta>0$ (more details can be found in [6]). These two conjectures are given in Section 2.

## 2. Conjectures

Firstly, we consider the case $\sin 2 \zeta<0$. Let introduce the following notation

$$
b_{\nu}=(N-\nu+1) \frac{\pi}{\zeta}, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, N, \quad N=[\zeta / \pi]
$$

where $[t]$ denotes integer part of $t$.
We consider the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} t \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\zeta>0$ and $\sin 2 \zeta<0$ it is easy to see that

$$
I_{0}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} t \sin \zeta t d t=\frac{1}{|\sin \zeta|} \frac{-\zeta \sin 2 \zeta+2 \sin ^{2} \zeta}{\zeta^{2}}>0
$$

Based on numerous numerical experiments we can state the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2.1. Suppose that $\zeta>0$ and $\sin 2 \zeta<0$. Then $I_{n}>0$ for each $n=1, \ldots, N$.

Using the well known formula (cf. [1, 1.431, p. 43])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin x=x \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x=\zeta$ we obtain a product where the first $N$ factors are negative and all others are positive. Because of that, we have

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta)=(-1)^{N},
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=(-1)^{N} \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t \sin \zeta t d t, \quad n=1, \ldots, N . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing (2.2) for $x=\zeta t$ in (2.3) we obtain
$I_{n}=2 \zeta(-1)^{N+n} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)^{2} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{\zeta^{2}}{\ell^{2} \pi^{2}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N-n}\left(\frac{\ell^{2} \pi^{2}}{\zeta^{2}}-t^{2}\right) \prod_{\ell=N+1}^{+\infty}\left(1-\frac{t^{2} \zeta^{2}}{\ell^{2} \pi^{2}}\right) d t$
(empty product is equal to 1 ). From the previous formula it is easy to see that $I_{N}>0$.

In these integrals for $n=1, \ldots, N-1$, all factors are positive except for the product $\prod_{\ell=1}^{N-n}\left(\frac{\ell^{2} \pi^{2}}{\zeta^{2}}-t^{2}\right)$. This means that integrand changes its sign $N-n$ times in the interval $(0,1)$. Let denote integrand by $f_{n}(t ; \zeta)$, i.e.,

$$
f_{n}(t ; \zeta)=t^{2} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)^{2} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{\zeta^{2}}{\ell^{2} \pi^{2}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N-n}\left(\frac{\ell^{2} \pi^{2}}{\zeta^{2}}-t^{2}\right) \prod_{\ell=N+1}^{+\infty}\left(1-\frac{t^{2} \zeta^{2}}{\ell^{2} \pi^{2}}\right)
$$

In Figure 2.1 the graph of function $f_{n}(t ; \zeta), t \in(0,1)$, for $\zeta=100$ and $n=1$ (left) and $n=2$ (right) is given.



FIg. 2.1: Graph of function $f_{n}(t ; \zeta), t \in(0,1)$, for $\zeta=100, n=1$ (left) and $n=2$ (right)

In the numerous numerical experiments we saw that $I_{n}$ has the same sign as the function $f_{n}(t ; \zeta)$ after the last sign change, i.e., that $I_{n}>0, n=1, \ldots, N-1$.

Under condition that Conjecture 2.1 is true it is possible to prove that in the case when $\zeta>0$ and $\sin 2 \zeta<0$ for all $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right) \in B_{n}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}=\underset{\nu=1}{\stackrel{n}{\times}}\left(\left[-b_{\nu}, 0\right] \times\left[0, b_{\nu}\right]\right) \times\left[-b_{n+1}, b_{n+1}\right], \quad n<N, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\nu=1}^{2 n+1}\left(t-x_{\nu}\right) \sin \zeta t d t>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. This inequality is of great importance for solving the existence of the quadrature rule (1.1) in general for the case $\sin 2 \zeta<0$.

In order to prove the inequality (2.5) we need some auxiliary results.
Let $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be linear functional on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}-$ set of all polynomials). Denote with $\sigma_{n, k}$ elementary symmetric functions

$$
\sigma_{n, k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)} x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{k}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

where summation is performed over all combinations, without repetition, of length $k$ of numbers $1, \ldots, n$ (see [5]). Every polynomial $p$ of degree $n$ with real zeros $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ can be represented in the following form

$$
p(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sigma_{n, n-k} x^{k}
$$

We denote the vector of zeros of a polynomial $p$ with $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and consider the following problem: Find

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in C} \mathcal{A} p=\min _{\mathbf{x} \in C} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sigma_{n, n-k} \mathcal{A} x^{k}
$$

for a given compact and connected set $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For that purpose we define the function $\Phi$ in the following way

$$
\Phi(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{A} p, \quad \mathbf{x} \in C
$$

Now, our problem is to determine $\min _{\mathbf{x} \in C} \Phi(\mathbf{x})$.
Lemma 2.1. The function $\Phi$ is harmonic on $C \backslash \partial C$.
Proof. Every elementary symmetric function is obviously harmonic, i.e., satisfies the Laplace's equation

$$
\Delta \sigma_{n, k}=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian. Because $\Phi$ is a linear combination of harmonic functions it is also harmonic.

According to the strong maximum (minimum) principle for the harmonic functions we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. The maximum (minimum) value of $\Phi$ on $C$ must occur on $\partial C$, i.e.,

$$
\max _{\mathbf{x} \in C}\left(\min _{\mathbf{x} \in C}\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x})=\max _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial C}\left(\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial C}\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) .
$$

Because of that, our problem is to determine $\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial C} \Phi(\mathbf{x})$.

Lemma 2.3. If the set $B_{n}$ is defined with (2.4) then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{\mathbf{x} \in B_{n}}\left(\min _{\mathbf{x} \in B_{n}}\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \\
& =\max (\min )^{\max _{k_{i} \in\{0,1\}, i=1, \ldots, 2 n+1} \mathcal{A} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}(x+} \begin{aligned}
& \left.b_{\nu}\right)^{1-k_{2 \nu-1}} x^{k_{2 \nu-1}}\left(x-b_{\nu}\right)^{1-k_{2 \nu}} x^{k_{2 \nu}} \times \\
& \times\left(x+b_{n+1}\right)^{1-k_{2 n+1}}\left(x-b_{n+1}\right)^{k_{2 n+1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. According to Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$
\max _{\mathbf{x} \in B_{n}}\left(\min _{\mathbf{x} \in B_{n}}\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x})=\max _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial B_{n}}\left(\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial B_{n}}\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x})
$$

and all we need to do is to describe $\partial B_{n}$. Every point $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right)$, which satisfies the following conditions

$$
-b_{\nu}<x_{2 \nu-1}<0, \quad 0<x_{2 \nu}<b_{\nu}, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n, \quad-b_{n+1}<x_{2 n+1}<b_{n+1}
$$

is an interior point for the set $B_{n}$.
The point $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right) \in \partial B_{n}$ if exists an index $\nu(\in\{1, \ldots, n\})$ such that $x_{2 \nu-1}=-b_{\nu}$ or $x_{2 \nu-1}=0$ or if exists $\nu(\in\{1, \ldots, n\})$ such that $x_{2 \nu}=b_{\nu}$ or $x_{2 \nu}=0$ or if $x_{2 n+1}= \pm b_{n+1}$. Therefore, our $\max (\min )$ must occur in some of such boundary point. The function $\Phi$ is harmonic. We can choose $x_{1}=-b_{1}$ or $x_{1}=0$, and define the following two functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{2}^{-b_{1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right)=\Phi\left(-b_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right) \\
& \Phi_{2}^{0}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right)=\Phi\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, the function $\Phi_{2}^{-b_{1}}$, as well as the function $\Phi_{2}^{0}$, is also harmonic on

$$
B_{n}^{1}=\left[0, b_{1}\right] \times \underset{\nu=2}{\stackrel{n}{\times}}\left(\left[-b_{\nu}, 0\right] \times\left[0, b_{\nu}\right]\right) \times\left[-b_{n+1}, b_{n+1}\right] .
$$

Thus, any of these two functions must achieve $\max (\min )$ on $\partial B_{n}^{1}$. Now, we fix $x_{2}=0$ or $x_{2}=b_{1}$. Continuing in this manner we get the equation (2.6).

We rewrite the equation (2.6) in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\mathbf{x} \in B_{n}}\left(\min _{\mathbf{x} \in B_{n}}\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x})=\max _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n}}\left(\min _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n}}\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n} \subset \partial B_{n}$, such that $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right) \in Q_{n}$ if $x_{2 \nu-1} \in\left\{-b_{\nu}, 0\right\}, x_{2 \nu} \in$ $\left\{0, b_{\nu}\right\}, \nu=1, \ldots, n$, and $x_{2 n+1} \in\left\{-b_{n+1}, b_{n+1}\right\}$.

In the sequel we consider only polynomials $p_{2 n+1}$ with zeros $\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n}$ for some nonnegative integer $n$ and we will not explicitly mark that.

According to Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\nu=1}^{2 n+1}\left(t-x_{\nu}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \geq \min _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{2 n+1}(t) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

Therefore, the inequality (2.5) will be true if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{2 n+1}(t) \sin \zeta t d t>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the condition that Conjecture 2.1 is true, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\zeta>0, \sin 2 \zeta<0, N=[\zeta / \pi]$, and let all integrals $I_{n}$ in (2.1) be positive. Then, for $n \leq N$,

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{2 n+1}(t) \sin \zeta t d t=I_{n}
$$

Proof. First of all we note the following trivial fact

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t=I_{n}
$$

At the beginning, we prove the assertion for $n=1$. Since

$$
I_{1}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(t^{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) t \sin \zeta t d t
$$

we consider all other possible cases with respect to values of zeros $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ as follows. At first

$$
I_{1}^{1,2}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(t+b_{1}\right) t\left(t \pm b_{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(t^{3} \pm b_{1} b_{2} t\right) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

and it is easy to see that $I_{1}^{1,2}-I_{1}=b_{1}\left(b_{1} \pm b_{2}\right) I_{0}>0$ since $b_{1}>b_{2}>0$ and $I_{0}>0$. On a similar way, for

$$
I_{1}^{3,4}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(t-b_{1}\right) t\left(t \pm b_{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(t^{3} \mp b_{1} b_{2} t\right) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

we have $I_{1}^{3,4}-I_{1}=b_{1}\left(b_{1} \mp b_{2}\right) I_{0}>0$.
Finally,

$$
I_{1}^{5,6}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} t^{2}\left(t \pm b_{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t=\operatorname{sgn} \sin \zeta \int_{-1}^{1} t^{3} \sin \zeta t d t
$$

and $I_{1}^{5,6}-I_{1}=b_{1}^{2} I_{0}>0$.
Therefore, we have already proved that

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{3}(t) \sin \zeta t d t=I_{1}
$$

Next, we prove that if

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{2 n-1}(t) \sin \zeta t d t=I_{n-1}
$$

for some integer $2 \leq n \leq N-1$, then

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{2 n+1}(t) \sin \zeta t d t=I_{n}
$$

We have three crucial steps in proof. First one is to prove that in case when we change one symmetric factor $t^{2}-b_{\ell}^{2}, 1 \leq \ell \leq n$, in $\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)$ with any possible nonsymmetric case, as well as the another symmetric case $\left(t^{2}\right)$, the obtained integrals are greater than $I_{n}$. According to possible values of zeros $x_{2 \ell-1}, x_{2 \ell}$ and $x_{2 n+1}$ it is easy to see that there are six such cases. Let denote the corresponding integrals with $I_{n}^{i}, i=1, \ldots, 6$, and consider all of them. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}^{1,2} & =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\
\nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)\left(t+b_{\ell}\right) t\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\
\nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)\left(t^{3} \pm b_{\ell} b_{n+1} t\right) \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{n}^{1,2}-I_{n}=b_{\ell}\left(b_{\ell} \pm b_{n+1}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t \sin \zeta t d t>0
$$

because $b_{\ell}>b_{n+1}>0$ and

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t \sin \zeta t d t \geq I_{n-1}>0
$$

(the equality in previous inequality holds for $\ell=n$ ).
Similarly, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}^{3,4} & =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\
\nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t\left(t-b_{\ell}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\
\nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)\left(t^{3} \mp b_{\ell} b_{n+1} t\right) \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and, again,

$$
I_{n}^{3,4}-I_{n}=b_{\ell}\left(b_{\ell} \mp b_{n+1}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t \sin \zeta t d t>0
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}^{5,6} & =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\
\nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t^{2}\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\
\nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t^{3} \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{n}^{5,6}-I_{n}=b_{\ell}^{2} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \ell}}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right) t \sin \zeta t d t \geq b_{\ell}^{2} I_{n-1}>0
$$

The second step in this proof is to prove the following assertion: If $p_{2 n+1, k}(t)$, $1<k \leq n$, is a polynomial with $k$ pairs of nonsymmetric zeros and

$$
I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{2 n+1, k}(t) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

then there exists $p_{2 n+1, k-1}$ such that $I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}>I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1)}$.
Let $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ be an arbitrary permutation without repetition of the following set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The possible values of $I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}$ are

$$
I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k$ (empty product equals to 1 by definition).
Let suppose that $0<j<k$, choose arbitrary indices $i_{s}, 1 \leq s \leq k-j$ and $i_{r}$, $k-j+1 \leq r \leq k$ and consider the following integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 1}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\
\ell \neq s}}^{k-j}(t & \left.+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-1}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{s}}^{2}\right) \times \\
& \times \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 2}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-j}(t & \left.+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\substack{\nu=k-j+1 \\
\nu \neq r}}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-1}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{r}}^{2}\right) \times \\
& \times \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Our aim is to prove that one of the differences $I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}-I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 1}$ or $I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}-I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 2}$ is positive. It is easy to see that these differences are equal to the following expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}-I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 1}= & \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\
l \neq s}}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-1} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right)\left(t^{2}+t b_{i_{s}}-t^{2}+b_{i_{s}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
= & b_{i_{s}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-1} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}-I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 2}= & \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\substack{\nu=k-j+1 \\
\nu \neq r}}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-1} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right)\left(t^{2}-t b_{i_{r}}-t^{2}+b_{i_{r}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
= & -b_{i_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-1} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the obtained integrals on the right hand sides of these differences are the same and $b_{i_{s}}, b_{i_{r}}>0$, we can conclude that one of considered differences is positive.

In the cases $j=0$ and $j=k$ we have the following integrals

$$
I_{2 n+1}^{(k),+}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

and

$$
I_{2 n+1}^{(k),-}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\ell}}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

Changing variable $t$ with $-t$ in $I_{2 n+1}^{(k),-}$ we obtain

$$
I_{2 n+1}^{(k),-}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \mp b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
$$

Therefore, we need to prove our assertion for $I_{2 n+1}^{(k),+}$. Now, we prove that obtained integral $I_{2 n+1}^{(k)+}$ for $j=0$ is greater than the corresponding integral $I_{2 n+1}^{(k)}$ for $j=1$. For this purpose we consider the following difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& \quad-\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=2}^{k}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right)\left(t-b_{i_{1}}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& =2 b_{i_{1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=2}^{k}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

All we need to prove is that for all $1<k \leq n$ the following inequality

$$
J_{k}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=2}^{k}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t>0
$$

holds.
Firstly, we prove that $J_{2}>0$, and then that $J_{k+1}>J_{k}$ holds for all $k<n$.
Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2} & =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(t+b_{i_{2}}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) t^{2} \prod_{\mu=3}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(t^{2}+\left(b_{i_{2}} \pm b_{n+1}\right) t \pm b_{i_{2}} b_{n+1}\right) t^{2} \prod_{\mu=3}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& =\left(b_{i_{2}} \pm b_{n+1}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\mu=3}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) t^{3} \sin \zeta t d t>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

because of $b_{i_{2}} \pm b_{n+1}>0$ and

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\mu=3}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) t^{3} \sin \zeta t d t>I_{n-1}>0
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{k+1}-J_{k}= & \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=2}^{k+1}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) t^{k+1} \prod_{\mu=k+2}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
& -\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=2}^{k}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t \\
= & b_{i_{k+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=2}^{k+1}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+2}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

because of $b_{i_{k+1}}>0$ and

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=2}^{k+1}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) t^{k} \prod_{\mu=k+2}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \sin \zeta t d t>I_{n-1}>0
$$

Therefore, our assertion has been proved completely.
The third step is to prove that the integrals obtained when some of $k$ symmetric factors, $2<k \leq n$, in the product $\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{\nu}^{2}\right)$ are changed with the another symmetric factors (i.e., with $t^{2}$ ), and some of them are changed with nonsymmetric factors, are greater than $I_{n}$. So, we have to consider the following integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2 n+1}^{(k), 0}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=p+1}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+q+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-p-q} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \times \\
& \times t^{2(p+q)}\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $0 \leq j \leq k$ and for all $0 \leq p \leq k-j$ and $0 \leq q \leq j$ such that $p^{2}+q^{2} \neq 0$.
Let $s$ be an arbitrary number satisfying $1 \leq s \leq p$ or $k-j+1 \leq s \leq k-j+q$. If we change $t^{2}$ in the previous integrals with $t^{2}-b_{i_{s}}^{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 0}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} & \prod_{\ell=p+1}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+q+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-p-q} \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) \times \\
& \times t^{2(p+q)-2}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{s}}^{2}\right)\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2 n+1}^{(k), 0}-I_{2 n+1}^{(k-1), 0}=b_{i_{s}}^{2} & \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\ell=p+1}^{k-j}\left(t+b_{i_{\ell}}\right) \prod_{\nu=k-j+q+1}^{k}\left(t-b_{i_{\nu}}\right) t^{k-p-q} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\mu=k+1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-b_{i_{\mu}}^{2}\right) t^{2(p+q)-2}\left(t \pm b_{n+1}\right) \sin \zeta t d t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

because the integral on the right hand side is greater than $I_{n-1}$. According to the first step (integrals $I_{n}^{5,6}$ for $k=1$ ) the inequality $I_{2 n+1}^{(k), 0}>I_{n}$ holds.

With these three steps the statement of this theorem is proved.
Thus, the inequality (2.5) is a direct corollary of Theorem 2.1 and Conjecture 2.1.

Now, we can consider the case $\sin 2 \zeta>0$. Introducing the notation

$$
a_{\nu}=\left(N-\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{\zeta}, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, N, \quad N=[\zeta / \pi]
$$

and

$$
I_{n}^{C}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(t^{2}-a_{\nu}^{2}\right) \cos \zeta t d t, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N,
$$

it is easy to see that

$$
I_{0}^{C}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \cos \zeta t d t=\frac{2|\sin \zeta|}{\zeta}>0 .
$$

According to the numerous numerical experiments we can state the second conjecture.

Conjecture 2.2. Suppose that $\zeta>0$ and $\sin 2 \zeta>0$. Then $I_{n}^{C}>0$ for each $n=1, \ldots, N$.

Analogously as in the case $\sin 2 \zeta<0$, under condition that Conjecture 2.2 is true it is possible to prove that in case when $\zeta>0$ and $\sin 2 \zeta>0$ for all $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right) \in A_{n}$, where

$$
A_{n}=\underset{\nu=1}{\stackrel{n}{\times}}\left(\left[-a_{\nu}, 0\right] \times\left[0, a_{\nu}\right]\right), \quad n=1, \ldots, N
$$

the following inequality

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sin \zeta) \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\nu=1}^{2 n}\left(t-x_{\nu}\right) \cos \zeta t d t>0
$$

holds.
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