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#### Abstract

We study the kernels $K_{n, s}(z)$ in the remainder terms $R_{n, s}(f)$ of Gauss-Turán quadrature formulae for analytic functions on elliptical contours with foci at $\pm 1$, when the weight $\omega$ is Chebyshev weight function of the first and third kind. It is shown that the modulus of the kernel attains its maximum on the real axis $\forall n \geq n_{0}, n_{0}=n_{0}(\rho, s)$ in the case $s=1$. Analogous results can be performed in the case $s=2$.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the Gauss-Turán quadrature formula with multiple nodes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) \omega(t) d t=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{2 s} A_{i, \nu} f^{(i)}\left(\tau_{\nu}\right)+R_{n, s}(f)\left(n \in \mathbb{N} ; s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is nonnegative and integrable function on interval $(-1,1)$, which is exact for all algebraic polynomials of degree at most $2(s+1) n-1$. The nodes $\tau_{\nu}$ in (1.1) must be zeros of the $s$-orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight function $\omega(t)$. The $s$-orthogonal polynomials $\pi_{n}=\pi_{n, s}$ with respect to the weight function $\omega(t)$ are polynomials which satisfy the following orthogonality conditions

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \pi_{n}(t)^{2 s+1} t^{k} \omega(t) d t=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

[^0]Numerically stable methods for constructing nodes $\tau_{\nu}$ and coefficients $A_{i, \nu}$ can be found in $[1,4,6]$. For more details on quadrature formulae with multiple nodes see [2] and [3].

Let $\Gamma$ be a simple closed curve in the complex plane surrounding the interval $[-1,1]$ and let $D$ be its interior. If integrand $f$ is analytic on $D$ and continuous on $\bar{D}$, then the remainder term $R_{n, s}$ in (1.1) admits the contour integral representation (see, for instance, [5] and reference therein)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n, s}(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} K_{n, s}(z) f(z) d z . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kernel is given by

$$
K_{n, s}(z)=\frac{\rho_{n, s}(z)}{\left[\pi_{n, s}(z)\right]^{2 s+1}}, \quad z \notin[-1,1]
$$

where

$$
\rho_{n, s}(z)=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left[\pi_{n, s}(t)\right]^{2 s+1}}{z-t} \omega(t) d t .
$$

The modulus of the kernel is symmetric with respect to real axis, i.e., $\left|K_{n, s}(\bar{z})\right|=\left|K_{n, s}(z)\right|$. If the weight function in (1.1) is even the modulus of the kernel is symmetric with respect to both axes, i.e., $\left|K_{n, s}(-\bar{z})\right|=\left|K_{n, s}(z)\right|$ (see [5, Lemma 2.1.]).

The integral representation (1.2) leads to the error estimate

$$
\left|R_{n, s}\right| \leq \frac{l(\Gamma)}{2 \pi}\left(\max _{z \in \Gamma} K_{n, s}(z)\right)\left(\max _{z \in \Gamma}(f(z)),\right.
$$

where $l(\Gamma)$ denotes the length of the contour $\Gamma$. First maximum depends only on the quadrature rule (i.e., on $\omega$ ) and not on $f$.

## 2. The Maximum Modulus of the Kernel on Confocal Ellipses

In this section we take as contour $\Gamma$ an ellipse $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ with foci at points $\pm 1$ and a sum of semiaxes $\rho>1$,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\rho}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}\right), 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi\right\} .
$$

When $\rho \rightarrow 1$ the ellipse shrinks to the interval $[-1,1]$, while with increasing $\rho$ it becomes more and more circle-like.

We study the magnitude of $\left|K_{n, s}(z)\right|$ on the contour $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ for the generalized Chebyshev weight functions of first and third kind, respectively, (cf. [5])

$$
\omega_{1}(t)=\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{3}(t)=\frac{(1+t)^{1 / 2+s}}{(1-t)^{1 / 2}}
$$

2.1. The weight function $\omega_{1}(t)=\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. Explicit representation of the kernel $K_{n, s}^{(1)}(z)$ on the ellipse $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ for the weight function $\omega_{1}(t)$ was given by Milovanović and Spalević in [5], as well

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{n, s}^{(1)}(z)\right|=\frac{2^{1-s} \pi}{\rho^{n}} \frac{\left|Z_{n, s}^{(1)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}{\left(a_{2}-\cos 2 \theta\right)^{1 / 2}\left(a_{2 n}+\cos 2 n \theta\right)^{1 / 2+s}}, z \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=a_{j}(\rho)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{j}+\rho^{-j}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n, s}^{(1)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{s}\binom{2 s+1}{s+k+1}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)^{-2 n k} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weight function $\omega_{1}(t)$ is even, so we can take $\theta \in[0, \pi / 2]$.
Using the representation (2.1) Milovanović and Spalević stated the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2.1. For each fixed $\rho>1$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(\rho, s)$ such that

$$
\max _{z \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}}\left|K_{n, s}^{(1)}(z)\right|=K_{n, s}^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho+\rho^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

for each $n \geq n_{0}$.
Theorem 2.1. Conjecture 2.1 holds for $s=1$.
Proof. Because (2.1) it is sufficiently to prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(9+6 \rho^{-2 n} \cos 2 n \theta+\rho^{-4 n}\right)\left(a_{2}-1\right)\left(a_{2 n}+1\right)^{3} \\
& \quad \leq\left(9+6 \rho^{-2 n}+\rho^{-4 n}\right)\left(a_{2}-\cos 2 \theta\right)\left(a_{2 n}+\cos 2 n \theta\right)^{3} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficiently large $n\left(n \geq n_{0}(\rho)\right)$ and $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2]$, where $a_{j}$ are given by (2.2). Introducing half-angles, this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(3+\rho^{-2 n}\right)^{2}-12 \rho^{-2 n} \sin ^{2} n \theta\right]\left(a_{2}-1\right)\left(a_{2 n}+1\right)^{3}} \\
& \quad \leq\left(3+\rho^{-2 n}\right)^{2}\left[\left(a_{2}-1\right)+2 \sin ^{2} \theta\right]\left[\left(a_{2 n}+1\right)^{3}-6 a_{2 n}^{2} \sin ^{2} n \theta\right. \\
& \left.\quad-12 a_{2 n} \sin ^{2} n \theta \cos ^{2} n \theta-6 \sin ^{2} n \theta+12 \sin ^{4} n \theta-8 \sin ^{6} n \theta\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, it is sufficiently to prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a_{2 n}+1\right)^{3}-\frac{\sin ^{2} n \theta}{\sin ^{2} n \theta}\left(a_{2}-1\right)\left(3 a_{2 n}^{2}+6 a_{2 n} \cos ^{2} n \theta\right. \\
& \left.\quad+3-6 \sin ^{2} n \theta+4 \sin ^{4} n \theta\right)-2 \sin ^{2} n \theta\left(3 a_{2 n}^{2}\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left.\quad+6 a_{2 n} \cos ^{2} n \theta+3-6 \sin ^{2} n \theta+4 \sin ^{4} n \theta\right) \geq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

if $n \geq n_{0}(\rho)$ and $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2]$. Since

$$
\left|\frac{\sin n \theta}{\sin \theta}\right| \leq n,\left(a_{2}-1\right)>0,
$$

and

$$
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad 3 a_{2 n}^{2}+6 a_{2 n} \cos ^{2} n \theta+3-6 \sin ^{2} n \theta+4 \sin ^{4} n \theta \geq 0,
$$

the left-hand side of (2.5) is larger or equal to

$$
\left(a_{2 n}+1\right)^{3}-n^{2}\left(a_{2}-1\right)\left(3 a_{2 n}^{2}+6 a_{2 n}+7\right)-2\left(3 a_{2 n}^{2}+6 a_{2 n}+7\right):=F(n) .
$$

Using (2.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(n)= & \frac{1}{8}\left[\rho^{6 n}-\left(3 A n^{2}+6\right) \rho^{4 n}-\left(12 A n^{2}+33\right) \rho^{2 n}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(34 A n^{2}+116\right)-\left(12 A n^{2}+33\right) \rho^{-2 n}-\left(3 A n^{2}+6\right) \rho^{-4 n}+\rho^{-6 n}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=\left(a_{2}-1\right)=\left(\rho-\rho^{-1}\right)^{2}=$ const. Since $F(n)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}=+\infty$, it follows that $F(n)>0$, for all $n>t$, where $t$ is the largest zero of $F(n)$. For $n_{0}$ we can take $[t]+1$.

We can use the function $F(n)$ from the proof to estimate $n_{0}$. Numerical values of $[t]+1(t$ is the largest zero of $F)$ for some values of $\rho$ are presented in Table 1. The least possible values of $n_{0}$ are also presented. We can see that the least possible $n_{0}$ is estimated by $[t]+1$ very well.

Table 1

| $\rho$ | $[t]+1$ | the l.p. $n_{0}$ | $\rho$ | $[t]+1$ | the l.p. $n_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.01 | 207 | 165 | 1.2 | 12 | 10 |
| 1.02 | 104 | 83 | 1.3 | 8 | 7 |
| 1.03 | 70 | 56 | 1.4 | 7 | 6 |
| 1.04 | 53 | 42 | 1.5 | 6 | 5 |
| 1.05 | 43 | 34 | 1.6 | 5 | 4 |
| 1.06 | 36 | 29 | 1.7 | 4 | 4 |
| 1.07 | 31 | 25 | 1.8 | 4 | 4 |
| 1.08 | 27 | 22 | 1.9 | 4 | 3 |
| 1.09 | 24 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 1.1 | 22 | 18 | 2.5 | 3 | 3 |

Analogous results can be derived in the case $s=2$, in a similar way. But when $s$ increases the derivation becomes drastically complex.
2.2. The weight function $\omega_{3}(t)=(1+t)^{1 / 2+s}(1-t)^{-1 / 2}$. Explicit representation of the kernel $K_{n, s}^{(3)}(z)$ on the ellipse $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ for the generalized Chebyshev weight function of third kind $\omega_{3}(t)$ was given by Milovanović and Spalević in [5], as well

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{n, s}^{(3)}(z)\right|=\frac{2^{1-s} \pi}{\rho^{n+1 / 2}} \frac{\left(a_{1}+\cos \theta\right)\left|Z_{n, s}^{(3)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}{\left(a_{2}-\cos 2 \theta\right)^{1 / 2}\left(a_{2 n+1}+\cos (2 n+1) \theta\right)^{1 / 2+s}}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n, s}^{(3)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{s}\binom{2 s+1}{s+k+1}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)^{-(2 n+1) k} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using representation (2.6) in [5] was been stated the following conjecture:
Conjecture 2. For each fixed $\rho>1$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(\rho, s)$ such that

$$
\max _{z \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}}\left|K_{n, s}^{(3)}(z)\right|=K_{n, s}^{(3)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho+\rho^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

for each $n \geq n_{0}$.
Theorem 2.2. The conjecture 2 holds for $s=1$.
Proof. Because (2.6) it is sufficiently to prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(9+6 \rho^{-2 n-1} \cos (2 n+1) \theta+\rho^{-4 n-2}\right)\left(a_{2}-1\right)\left(a_{2 n+1}+1\right)^{3} \\
& \quad \leq\left(9+6 \rho^{-2 n-1}+\rho^{-4 n-2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\cos 2 \theta\right)\left(a_{2 n+1}+\cos (2 n+1) \theta\right)^{3}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for enough large $n\left(n \geq n_{0}(\rho)\right)$ and $\theta \in(0, \pi]$, where $a_{j}$ are given by (2.2). Introducing the new variable $k$ with $n=(2 k-1) / 2$ inequality (2.8) becomes inequality (2.4), which holds $\forall k, k>t$, where $t$ is the largest zero of the function $F(k)$ from the proof of Theorem 2.1. Furthermore, we can conclude that inequality $(2.8)$ holds for every $n$, such that $n>(2 t-1) / 2$. For $n_{0}$ we can take $[(2 t-1) / 2]+1$.
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